Taluk	Hobli	Village	Name of defaulter	Description of property: Building — Name of building	Land — Estimated value	Land — Number or name of land	Land — Dry, wet or garden	Land — Area	Land — Assessment	Amount of arrears due to Government including notice fees, etc.
					Rs.				Rs. a. p.	
Heggaddevankote	Hampapur	Karigala	Sivananjegowda		400	183	Wet ..	1 6	6 0 0	
						190	Garden ..	1 19	9 0 0	
						325	Dry ...	6 10	5 8 0	
						...	Water-rate ..	...	22 12 0	Rs. a. p.
						338	Wet ..	0 20	2 0 0	... 369 12 10
						347	Do ..	6 16	32 0 0	
						362/2	Wet ..	5 21	3 0 0	... 1 8 0
						...	Water-rate ..	...	21 12 0	...
						395	Wet ...	0 34	0 8 0	Arrears
						...	Local cess ..	...	6 6 4	Notice fee
				Total.	...	7		22 6	108 14 4	Plus interest.

C. KRISHNA RAO, for *Deputy Comr.*

IN THE COURT OF THE DISTRICT JUDGE AT BANGALORE.

Mis. Application No. 16 *of* 1905-06.

1. John Oakshott Robinson and 2. Seton McLary, both of Madras, the recognized agents and attorneys of Eugene Philip Oakshott of Madras ... ... *Applicants.*

In the matter of the estate of the late Charles George Brown, late of Infantry Road, Bangalore, but now deceased ... ... *Deceased.*

Whereas the abovenamed petitioners, the attorneys and recognized agents of Eugene Philip Oakshott of Madras, a creditor of the deceased abovenamed, have applied to this Court for letters of administration to the property and estate (valued at Rs. 15,000) of the said deceased, who died intestate at the Civil and Military Station, Bangalore, on the 24th day of September 1904; and Friday the 20th day of October 1905 at 10 A.M., has been fixed for the hearing of the application.

All persons claiming to have any interest in the estate of the deceased are hereby called upon to come and see the proceedings on or before the said 20th day of October 1905.

Given under my hand and the seal of the Court this 11th September 1905.

S. R. SUBBARAYA IYER, *District Judge.*

IN THE COURT OF THE MUNSIFF AT KOLAR.

Mis. Application No. 92 *of* 1905-06.

In the matter of the estate of Ramanna, Police constable, resident of Srinivaspur .. .. *Deceased.*

Ranganna *alias* Rangachar, son of Shamachar ... ... *Applicant.*

Whereas Ranganna *alias* Rangachar, resident of Mittamari in Bagepalli Taluk, claiming to be the cousin of the said Ramanna deceased, has applied to this Court for a certificate under Regulation VII of 1901, to collect debts payable to the extent of Rs. 274 in respect of the estate of the said Ramanna deceased, resident of Srinivaspur.

Notice is hereby given of the said application; and all claimants in the estate of the said Ramanna, deceased, are invited to appear in this Court on Saturday the 30th day of September 1905, when the petition of the said Ranganna *alias* Rangachar, applicant, will be heard, and the right to the certificate will be determined.

Given under my hand and the seal of the Court this 11th day of September 1905.

J. E. HOLT, *Munsiff.*

IN THE COURT OF THE MUNSIFF OF MADDAGIRI.

Mis. Case No. 38 *of* 1905-06.

Mallappa, son of Vaddina Ramay Lingappa, resident of Hoskote, Pavagada Taluk .. .. *Petitioner.*

1. Sanna Siddappa, 2. Nanjappa, 3. Balappa, 4. Dodda Mallia, 5. Sannappia, 6. Hanumantappa, 7. Venkatramanappa, 8. Sanna Venkatramanappa, 9. Dodda Siddappa, 10. Govindappa, 11. Kappanna, 12. Dodnappa, 13. Krishnia, 14. Venkatramania, 15. Hanumantappa and 16. Muddaveerappa ... *Counter-Petitioners.*

Whereas the petitioner Mallappa has made an application to this Court under Section 344, Civil Procedure Code, praying that he may be declared an insolvent; all persons indebted to the said petitioner or who may have any of his estates or effects are hereby required forthwith to pay or deliver the same to the Court of the Munsiff of Maddagiri. If any creditor intends to oppose this application, he may appear before this Court on the 30th day of September 1905, either in person or by a pleader or by an agent duly authorized, and show cause why the petition should not be granted.

T. KRISHNA IYENGAR,
Munsiff.

11th September 1905.

IN THE COURT OF THE SUBORDINATE JUDGE, CHIKMAGALUR.

Mis. No. 152 *of* 1905-06.

In the matter of the estate of Puttasastry *alias* Anantanaranabhatta, resident of Birur, Kadur Taluk ... ... *Deceased.*

Tippamma, wife of the deceased, resident of Kadur ... *Applicant.*

Whereas the abovenamed Tippamma, the applicant, resident of Kadur, alleging that she is the wife of the deceased Puttasastry *alias* Anantanarnabhatta, has applied for a certificate under Regulation No. VII of 1901, to collect debts to the extent of Rs. 303-4-0 in respect of the estate of Puttasastry *alias* Anantanarnabhatta, deceased.

Notice is hereby given of the said application; and all claimants to the estate of the said Puttasastry *alias* Anantanaranabhatta are invited to appear before this Court on 24th October 1905 when the petition of the said Tippamma will be heard and the right to the certificate will be determined.

Given under my hand and seal of the Court this 11th September 1905.

MIR HUMZA HUSSEN,
Subordinate Judge.

BANGALORE DIVISION.

Dated 7th September 1905.

Notice is hereby given that sealed tenders will be received at the office of the Executive Engineer, Sanitary Range, up to 25th September 1905, noon for completing the drainage works in Chamarajendrapet. An approximate estimate of quantities is given below. These quantities are not guaranteed. The work should be completed by the end of June 1906.

2. The plans, detailed estimate and conditions may be seen at the Executive Engineer's office at Public Offices between the hours of 11 A.M. to 5 P.M.

3. Tenders should be submitted on printed forms which may be obtained from the Executive Engineer. The tenders should be accompanied by a statement in the form given below, showing the rates at which the different items specified will be executed.

4. Each tender must be accompanied by a deposit of Rs. 100 in cash or Government Promissory Notes, as earnest money, and be superscribed "Tender for completing the drainage works of Chamarajendrapet, in default of which, tenders will be rejected.

5. The final acceptance of any tender will rest with the Chief Engineer, who does not bind himself to accept the lowest or any tender or to assign any reason whatever for the rejection of any tender.

6. Within eight days of the acceptance of the tender, the successful competitor will be required to execute the usual contract bond, in default of which, his tender will be considered cancelled, and his earnest money will be forfeited.

7. The name of the successful competitor whose tender has been accepted will be posted on the notice board in the Executive Engineer's office in due course. No enquiries regarding the acceptance or rejection of a tender will receive any reply.